

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Dell Lafayette Carter,)	C.A. No. 3:06-2431-TLW-JRM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
Robin Chavis, Associate Warden of)	
Evans Correctional Institution; and)	
NFN Graves, Lieutenant)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Joseph R. McCrorey, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge McCrorey recommends that the complaint in the above-captioned case be dismissed without prejudice under the “three strikes” rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); that the complaint be dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies pursuant to 42 U.S.C.A. § 1997e(a); and that plaintiff’s motion to consolidate several actions into this action be denied. (Doc. # 5). Plaintiff has filed objections to the Report. (Doc. # 6).

In conducting this review, the Court applies the following standard:

The magistrate judge makes only a recommendation to the Court, to which any party may file written objections. . . . The Court is not bound by the recommendation of the magistrate judge but, instead, retains responsibility for the final determination. The Court is required to make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a de

novo or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which no objections are addressed. While the level of scrutiny entailed by the Court's review of the Report thus depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, the Court is free, after review, to accept, reject, or modify any of the magistrate judge's findings or recommendations.

Wallace v. Housing Auth. of the City of Columbia, 791 F.Supp. 137, 138 (D.S.C. 1992) (citations omitted).

In light of this standard, the Court has reviewed, de novo, the Report and the objections thereto. The Court accepts the Report.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED**, to the extent that it recommends that the complaint in the above-captioned case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice under the "three strikes" rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) (Doc. # 5) and plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED** (Doc. # 6). Additionally, the plaintiff's motion to consolidate several actions into this action is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 4, 2007
Florence, South Carolina